# JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN EARLY CHILDHOOD AND PRIMARY EDUCATION (JCIECPE)

A Journal of the Department of Early Childhood and Primary Education University of Port Harcourt

VOLUME 1, ISSUE 1, JUNE, 2022

ISSN: 2955-1285





DEPARTMENT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD

AND PRIMARY EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT

# PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS ON PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES OF DIGITAL ASSESSMENT IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN DELTA STATE NIGERIA

Chenube, Olufunke (Ph.D.), <sup>2</sup>Omumu, Florence (Ph.D.)) <sup>3</sup>Alordiah, Caroline (Ph.D.), <sup>4</sup>Oji, John (Ph.D.), <sup>5</sup>Osagiede, Mercy (Ph.D.), <sup>6</sup>Okokoyo, Isabella (Ph.D.), <sup>7</sup>Emiko-Agbajor, Helena (Ph.D.),

<sup>1,2, 3,4,5, 6,</sup> Faculty of Education, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria
<sup>7</sup> Dept of Educational Psychology, College of Education Warri, Delta State, Nigeria
<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author email: funkechenube@gmail.com

# 1hstract

ž

his not enough to teach children with ICT tools, and there is the need to scale up and mand the use of these tools in assessment. Therefore, the main purpose of this study is to malyze teachers' perceptions of the prospects and challenges in using ICT tools in assessment in elementary schools in Delta State. A quantitative survey research design was used to collect the data randomly from a sample of 183 school teachers in 18 sementary schools in the three senatorial districts in Delta State, Nigeria. The results howed that teachers responded positively to almost all the twelve likely benefits of digital assessment to students; ranging from the fact that digital assessment will help learners live the reality of their age, be equipped gradually with relevant skills needed at a higher wel. The study also established the likely challenges schools will encounter in the journey digital assessment, and these include, lack or inadequate computers, connectivity, retwork and classroom space. Therefore, it is recommended that relevant authorities implement new technologies into the school assessment system.

Yeywords: ICT, assessment, prospects, challenges

## itroduction

Essessment is an essential aspect of education because it involves finding out a student's trengths and limitations. There are three significant categories of assessment documented the literature: diagnostic, formative, and summative (Loop, 2018); Guide to Assessment Early Childhood. (2008.) explains diagnostic assessment as a placement tool that occurs infore training. It can determine prerequisite skills, the pupil's past degree of mastery, or Ecgorize the pupil based on various criteria. While formative assessment helps the either and the student to find out how well they are learning, and summative evaluation recurs after teaching.

# Assessment generally serves different purposes in education. Loop (2018) outlined it is follows:

- Screening identifying pupils who may require a more comprehensive examination or early intervention
- Referral using observation and current performance as a basis of seeking more formal assessment
- Classification using the results of tests to determine eligibility to access services based on predetermined criteria
- Instructional planning information from tests, usually diagnostic or criterionreferenced tests, is used to develop an individual learning plan

- Monitoring progress tests (formal and informal) help to review a pupils' current lex
  of achievement and progress and to compare with previous records of achievement
- Checking mastery criterion-referenced tests give information on whether pupils had mastered specific curriculum content
- Developing understanding lead to an understanding and acceptance of the learning difference for teachers, parents and pupil
- Therapeutic to remove self-doubts and negative thinking and facilitate initicounselling
- Empowering the pupil enables the pupil to develop strategies and to self-manage problems

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) covers any product that will sters retrieve, manipulate, transmit or receive information electronically in a digital form (expersonal computers, including smartphones, digital television, email, or rober (Wikipedia, 2021). The world has gradually become a global village through in utilization of ICT tools. Almost everything in the world is controlled or done with ICT tools. So, our present-day learners in Preprimary, Primary, Secondary and even terriginastitutions born in this ICT era are known, digital natives. It is thus imperative to integrate ICT into the learning process to prepare them to function effectively in this digital age in the nearest future.

Some schools started using ICT in teaching and learning in the early 1980s, yet so may schools in Nigeria are yet to embrace it because of its inherent challenges. Previous studies like Pellegrino & Quellmalz, 2010; Winkley, 2010; Schwartz and Arena, 2015. Angus and Watson, 2009 have outlined the possible benefits of digital assessment as:

Provision of quick feedback to learners and teachers, which can aid in in improvement of the learning process.

Increase the autonomy, agency, and self-regulation of learners.

 Collaborative learning support — Provides chances for peer evaluation, knowledge building and sharing activities, co-evaluation, and social engagement.

 Ensure genuineness and increase the measuring range — Digital technologies cz elicit and assess multi-faceted abilities, sets of knowledge, and cognitive processz that were previously difficult to test

Responsiveness that is adaptable and improve data management efficiency (marking, moderating, and storing information) by assisting teachers in making better use of their time and resources; provides more environmentally friend; assessment administration.

Improve student achievement and assessment validity and reliability

Despite these immense benefits, some past studies like Mogey (2011), Mansell (2005, Whitelock and Watt (2008) identified challenges with digital assessment as: One, concert from practitioners concerning plagiarism detection and invigilation. Also, difficulties will scalability and transferability of practices, especially in higher education, where varied departments frequently have autonomous, separate working methods and cultures The also identified oncerns about the validity and dependability of high-stakes testing. Another challenge is the investment costs - implementing new technology systems necessitate significant investments in training, support, and interoperability. Furthermore, some tool necessitate a significant capital investment and infrastructure that many institutions do in prioritize (e.g., having enough computers for individuals taking examinations for individuals taking examinations for individuals taking examinations for individuals taking examinations.

meen assessment). Finally, these studies pointed out the lack of suitable physical spaces technology-enhanced assessment.

There is a paucity of data on the use of digital assessment in elementary schools articularly from the point of view of educators what teachers, thus, a study of this nature simperative. This study is looking beyond using ICT tools for teaching and learning that time schools are already practicing but its utilization for assessment in Primary school atting. Though some examinational bodies in Nigeria started a few years ago using digital cols (CBT) for their entrance examination. Also, some universities have equally produced digital tools for both entrance and end of semester examinations. Introducing a tools for assessment in Basic school will be ideal so that learners will be well aguainted with it before entering high school. For learners to use ICT as assessment tools, eachers have a crucial role in preparing children for this task. It is, therefore, necessary to exestigate their perception on the likely benefits and challenges of the digital tools for assessment. Six research questions were raised this guide this study.

## Tethods

his study used survey design to collect and analyze data from all the participants. One andred eighty-three school teachers in 18 Basic schools in the three senatorial districts in Zelta State, Nigeria, were randomly selected for the study. Six schools were randomly Elected from each of the three Senatorial Districts in the State. All the Elementary mehers in the selected schools took part in the study. Teachers Perception Questionnaire D Prospects and Challenges of ICT prepared by the researchers was used to collect data From the selected samples. The questionnaire has three sections and 34 items. The three zetions cover: Section A- Personal information, Section B- Potential benefits of digital assessment to students, teachers, and the community, and Section C- Potential challenges The questionnaire used a four-point Likert scale, with 4 with digital evaluations. Edicating Strongly Agreed, 3 indicating Agreed, 2 indicating Disagreed, and 1 indicating Emongly Disagreed. The samples responded to the statements given and chose their Iswers based on their perceptions. The survey was distributed by hand to the participants. ill of the participants volunteered themselves in the research. The result was analyzed sing Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. The researchers malyzed the frequency and proportion of the entire population in the demographic mekdrop using descriptive analysis.

Estrument Validity

The validation was done using Content and Construct Validity. As a first step, one of the asserchers designed the questionnaire by conducting a Literature Review on the prospects of challenges of digital assessment. Later, a panel of four professional critically examined the items in the questionnaire. Based on their recommendations, some of the asstions were either removed or revised and—some items were included the asstionnaire was then administered to 55 persons to find out if the questionnaires are adable and understandable. The construct validity of the instrument was verified through factor analysis. The factor analysis yielded three subscales, which explained over 67.2% the variance (benefit to students explained 34.1% of variance, benefit of teachers explained 11% of the variance and challenges explained 22.1% of the variance. This formation provides evidence of construct validity for the instrument.

COLUMN TERMINATION

# Instrument Reliability

To establish the reliability, we administered the questionnaire to 30 teachers in a Basis school in Agbor. The Cronbach Alpha (x) yielded .71, .76, and .80 for Section B (benefit for students), Section C (benefits for teachers) and Section D (likely challenges respectively.

The result was analyzed using Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (SPSS) versize 22. The researchers analyzed the frequency and proportion of the entire population in the demographic backdrop using descriptive analysis. It's also utilized to figure out what the mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentage are.

#### Results

# Demographic Information of Respondents

Frequency	Percentage
22	2.0
59	32.2
64	35.0
38	20.8
92	50,3
91	49.7
80	43.7
72	39.3
31	16.9
55	30.0
41	22.4
42	23.0
45	24.6
- 60	32.8
63	34.4
60	32.8
	22 59 64 38 92 91 80 72 31 55 41 42 45

About 2%, 32.2%, 35.0% and 20.8% of the Basic school teachers' age was less than 's years, 25-35 years, 36-50 years and greater than 50 years respectively. The teachers were from Private schools (50.3%) and public schools (49.7%). Also, 43.7%, 39.3% and 16.9° of the teachers teach Basic 1-3, 4-6 and 7-9 respectively. For years of experience, 30.0° 22.4%, 23.0% and 24.6% of the teachers have 1-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-20 years and most than 20 years' experience respectively. About 32.8%, 34.4% and 32.8% of the Basic teachers were drawn from Delta South, Delta Central and Delta North senatorial district respectively.

# Research Question One

What are the likely prospects of digital assessment for students as perceived by teachers?

Table 2

14	N SA			A		D	SD	
15574)	No	%	No	%	No	%		%
183	91	49.7	78	42.6	12			1.1
183	99	54.1	63	34.4	14	7,7	7	3.8
183	101	55.2	63	, 34.4	14	7.7	5	2.7
183	74	40.4	85	46.4	17	9.4	7	3.8
183	83	45.4	75	41.0	20	10,9	5	2.7
183	74	40.4	86	47.0	17	9.3	6	3.3
183	22	12.0	44	24.0	72	39.3	45	24.
183	73 ,	39.9	82	44.8	21	11.5	7	3.8
183	54	29.5	103	56.3	22	12.0	4	2.2
183	52	28,4	65	35.5	50	27.3	15	8.7
183	70	38.3	88	48.1		1000 CO	-	1.6
183	78	42.6	81	44.3	16	8.7	8	4.4
	183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183	No  183 91 183 99 183 101 183 74 183 83 183 74 183 22 183 73 183 54 183 54 183 52 183 70	No %  183 91 49.7 183 99 54.1  183 101 55.2  183 74 40.4  183 83 45.4  183 74 40.4  183 22 12.0 183 73 39.9  183 54 29.5  183 52 28.4 183 70 38.3	No         %         No           183         91         49.7         78           183         99         54.1         63           183         101         55.2         63           183         74         40.4         85           183         83         45.4         75           183         74         40.4         86           183         22         12.0         44           183         73         39.9         82           183         54         29.5         103           183         52         28.4         65           183         70         38.3         88	No         %         No         %           183         91         49.7         78         42.6           183         99         54.1         63         34.4           183         101         55.2         63         .34.4           183         74         40.4         85         46.4           183         83         45.4         75         41.0           183         74         40.4         86         47.0           183         22         12.0         44         24.0           183         73         39.9         82         44.8           183         54         29.5         103         56.3           183         52         28.4         65         35.5           183         70         38.3         88         48.1	No         %         No         %         No           183         91         49.7         78         42.6         12           183         99         54.1         63         34.4         14           183         101         55.2         63         .34.4         14           183         74         40.4         85         46.4         17           183         83         45.4         75         41.0         20           183         74         40.4         86         47.0         17           183         22         12.0         44         24.0         72           183         73         39.9         82         44.8         21           183         54         29.5         103         56.3         22           183         52         28.4         65         35.5         50           183         70         38.3         88         48.1         22	No         %         No         %         No         %           183         91         49.7         78         42.6         12         6.6           183         99         54.1         63         34.4         14         7.7           183         101         55.2         63         .34.4         14         7.7           183         74         40.4         85         46.4         17         9.4           183         83         45.4         75         41.0         20         10.9           183         74         40.4         86         47.0         17         9.3           183         22         12.0         44         24.0         72         39.3           183         73         39.9         82         44.8         21         11.5           183         54         29.5         103         56.3         22         12.0           183         52         28.4         65         35.5         50         27.3           183         70         38.3         88         48.1         22         12.0	No         %         No         %         No         %         No           183         91         49.7         78         42.6         12         6.6         2           183         99         54.1         63         34.4         14         7.7         7           183         101         55.2         63         .34.4         14         7.7         5           183         74         40.4         85         46.4         17         9.4         7           183         83         45.4         75         41.0         20         10.9         5           183         74         40.4         86         47.0         17         9.3         6           183         22         12.0         44         24.0         72         39.3         45           183         73         39.9         82         44.8         21         11.5         7           183         54         29.5         103         56.3         22         12.0         4           183         52         28.4         65         35.5         50         27.3         15           183

From Table 2, considering strongly agree (SA) and agree (A) together, all the items lie reween 63.9% to 92.3% except for item 7. These percentages were above the benchmark \$50%. Also, majority of the teachers agreed that these 11 items were likely prospect of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ital assessment for students. Hence, items 1, 2, 3,4,5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were \$\frac{1}{2}\$ tepted as the likely prospect for digital assessment for students as perceived by teachers. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ in 7 was rejected because its percentage (36%) is below the benchmark of 50%.

# Esgarch Question Two

That are the likely prospects for teachers as perceived by teachers?

Table 3:

X	Item	N	N SA			Α		D	SD		
	Control of the Contro		No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	
	Digital assessment will save time and energy as the system automate results	183	113	61.7	57	31.1	11	6.0	2	1.1	
	Enhance / efficiencies of assessment	183	84	45.9	87	47,5	9	4.9	3	1.6	
	Teachers to be grounded in the use of digital tools	183	83	45.4	84	45.9	15	8.2	1	0.5	
	Reduce stress among teachers	183	93	50.8	69	37.7	19	10.4	2	1.1	
	Let teachers have less work load	183	74	40.4	78	42.6	26	14.2	5	2.7	
_	Teachers do less paper work	183	87	47.5	72	39.3	12	6.6	12	6.6	

The 3 summarizes the likely prospect of digital assessment in Basic schools for teachers. The percentages for items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 when strongly agree (SA) and agree (A) are together ranges from 91.3% to 83%. These percentages are above the benchmark of 3. Also, majority of the 183 teachers agreed that the six items were likely prospect of

Digital assessment in Basic schools for teachers. Hence, we accepted them as like-

Research Question Three

What are the likely challenges of the availability of ICT tools as perceived by teachers?

September 1 - Telephone 1	
Tab	FA 4 .
1.2483	110 4.

-	10 11	1.0				7		W-		en	7
S/N	Item	N	2	iA.		Δ.		D		SD	
200 2.5			No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	
1	Lack of computer laptops in most schools	183	110	60.1	56	30,6	11	6.0	6	3.3	3
2	Lack of functional computers or laptops	183	96	52.5	65	35.5	8	4.4	14	7.	
	in schools					_				_	

From Table 4, the teachers perceived that item 1 with percentage of 90.7% and item 2 with percentage of 88% were likely challenges of digital assessment on availability of teaching materials. Their percentages were above the benchmark of 50%. Also, more than two-thing of the teachers agreed that the two items were likely challenges of digital assessment x = availability of teaching materials. Hence, we accept these two items as likely challenges if digital assessment under availability of teaching materials.

Research Question Four

What are the likely challenges on connectivity as perceived by teachers?

Table 5

A. 68.62	TO D					_				
S/N	Item	N	N SA			A		D	SD	
-	0.000000		No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
3	Lack of internet connection	183	81	44.3	69	37.7	24	13.1	9	4.5
4	No internet connects in remote areas	183	88	48.1	73	39.9	16	8.7	6	3.3
5	The internet may be slow	183	69	37.7	89	48.6	15	8.2	10	5.5

In table 5, the teachers perceived that items 1, 2 and 3 with percentages of 82%, 88%, and 86.7% respectively are likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to connectivity. These percentages are above the cut-off mark of 50%. In addition, majority of the teacher agreed that these three items are likely challenges of digital assessment with regard z connectivity. Based on this information from the findings, The three items are likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to connectivity.

Research Question Five

What are the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to competence as perceived by teachers?

Table 6

S/N	Item	N	SA			A		D	SD	
			No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
6	Some teachers might lack the needed technical support skill to support digital assessment	183	71	38.8	85	46.4	21	11.5	6	3.5
7	Most teachers may lack teaching models on how to use ICT for teaching and assessment	183	66	36.1	77	42.1	31	16.9	9	4.5
11	Lack of interest on the part of the teacher to use digital tools for assessment	183	49	26.8	59	32.2	48	26.2	27	14.5

Table 6 summarizes the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to competence is perceived by Basic school teachers. The percentages for items 1, 2 and 3 are 85.2%, 3.2%, and 59% respectively. These percentages are above the benchmark of 50%. Most if the 183 Basic school teachers agreed that the three items were likely challenges of faital assessment with regard to competence for Basic schools. Hence, we accepted the free items as the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to competence for fasic schools.

Research Question Six

That are the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to space and furniture as acceived by teachers?

Tabl	e 7											_
V	Item			N		SA		Λ	-	D	-EU B	SD
					No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
	Current	school	sitting	183	39	21.3	91	49.7	41	22.4	12	6.6
	arrangem	ent							22	02000	1000	
	Current classroom size			183	47	25.7	86	47.0	39	21.3	11	6.0
2	Current o	lassroom f	furniture	183	49	26.8	78	42,6	35	19.1	21	11.5

Dible 7 showed the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to space and initure as perceived by Basic school teachers. The percentages of items 1, 2 and 3 are 7.0%, 72.7%, and 69.4% respectively. These percentages are above the cut-off mark of 7%. Also, most of the 183 Basic school teachers agreed that the three items were likely fallenges of digital assessment with regard to space and furniture or Basic schools. Hence, we accepted the three items as the likely challenges of digital assessment with regard to space and furniture for Basic schools.

#### Escussion

The findings of this study showed that teachers in Basic schools Delta perceived that it is assessment will really benefit the students. They responded positively to almost all twelve likely benefits of digital assessment to students; ranging from the fact that it assessment will help learners live in the reality of their age, be equipped gradually the relevant skills needed at a higher level, learn to work with speed and within expected limit, prepare to navigate a universe of digital choices etc. This shows that digital resessment has immersed benefits and should be introduced from Basic School, the stridge, (2007) in his study too discovered the value of ICT for students learning represent the claim that digital assessment will cause distraction to the students. I jority of the teachers agreed that digital tools will benefit the teachers themselves; as it help them save time and energy; enhance efficiency, reduce stress and encourage less rikload Another finding from this study on the likely benefit of digital assessment is rehers will do less paper work which is tandem to the advocacy in this age of less paper rik.

In study also revealed the likely challenges schools might face with digital assessment.

Ligority of the teachers agreed that the tools needed are not readily available or adequate.

There are schools that do not have computers or tablets at all, while some have just few cannot adequately cater for all the students. Aside the hardware that are not available, the teachers also agreed that network connectivity, technical know-how and classroom

space might be a real challenge in digital assessment. This corroborates the findings of other research work that connectivity and network might be a real challenge both is remote and urban areas. Past studies also revealed that lack of staff time and training for rethinking assessment methodologies and how to use new technologies from a technological and pedagogical standpoint pose a challenge in implementing digital assessment (Mansell, 2009; Whitelock and Watt, 2008)

## Conclusion

The study has established the likely benefits and challenges of digital assessment as perceived by teachers in Basic schools in Delta State. The study revealed that digital assessment will help both the learners and the teachers. Among the many benefits for learners are: it will help them as digital natives to live in the reality of their time, navigate digital choices, work with speed and within time limit. The study also confirmed that teachers will have less stress and work load and assessment results will be unbiased. It spite of these benefits, the study also established the likely challenges schools will encounter in the journey to digital assessment, and these include, lack or inadequate computers, connectivity, network and classroom space

#### Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are suggested:

- Relevant agencies in the State should be proactive by making adequate plans for the
  implementation of digital assessment. Necessary equipment should be bought and
  supplied to Government schools
- Supervising Agencies for Basic schools should insist on Private schools having adequate facilities and tools for digital learning and assessment either before approve or renewal process is concluded
- Technical training of all teachers to enable them have required skills to effectively use ICT tools for both learning and assessment
- Adequate funding and provision that will take care of connectivity even in remcz areas in the State
- Classrooms should be redesigned to cater for space needed for ICT tools
- Require furniture should be supplied to schools
- Effective monitoring device should be put in place to ensure the programme runs we."

#### References

- Angus, S.D. and Watson, J. (2009) 'Does regular online testing enhance student learning = the numerical sciences? Robust evidence from a large data set'. British Journal of Educational Technology, 40 (2), 255-272.
- A Guide to Assessment in Early Childhood; Infancy to Age Eight. Washington State
  Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, 2008
- Loop, E. "Advantages & Disadvantages of Informal Assessment in Early Childhom Education." Synonym, https://classroom.synonym.com/advantages assessment assessment in Early Childhom education-8487340.html. Accessed 30 October 2018.
- Mansell, W. (2009). 'Why hasn't e-assessment arrived more quickly?' The Guardian. I July.

  Available online from
  - http://www.guardian.co.uk/education/2009/jul/21/online-exams-Schools
- McAlpine, M. (2012) 'Collaborative assessment and the assessment of collaboraticz.

  International Journal of e-Assessment, 2 (2), 234-245

k sey, N. (2011) 'What is it that is really acting as a barrier to widespread use of summative assessment in UK higher education

Egrino, J. W. &Quellmalz, E.S. (2010) 'Perspectives on the Integration of Technology and Assessment'. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 43 (2), 119-134.

stridge, S. (2007). Engaging with the transforming possibilities of ICT. Australian Educational Computing, 22(2), 3□9

ciwartz, D.L. & Arena, D. (2009) Choice-Based Assessments for the Digital Age. Stanford University

Helock, D. and Watt, S. (2008) 'Reframing e-assessment: adopting new media and adapting old frameworks. Learning, Media and Technology, 33 (3), 151-154.

Figley, J. (2010) E-assessment and innovation. A Becta report. Available from: emergingtechnologies.becta.org