

Enhancing Questionnaire Design: Theoretical Perspectives on Capturing Attitudes and Beliefs in Social Studies Research

Caroline Ochuko ALORDIAH¹ and Juliet N. OSSAI²
Faculty of Education

University of Delta Agbor, Nigeria

¹ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2917-9302>

Abstract:- This theoretical paper explores the role of theoretical perspectives in questionnaire design, aiming to improve data quality and research outcomes in social studies research. It examines the significance of questionnaire design in understanding human behaviour and decision-making, and its potential contributions to research practices. The paper examines classical theories like cognitive dissonance and contemporary models like implicit attitudes, focusing on understanding belief systems and their cognitive, social, and cultural influences. It also discusses strategies for identifying relevant dimensions of attitudes and beliefs and addressing response biases to improve data accuracy. The paper also emphasizes the linkage of theories to measurement constructs, ensuring content validity by mapping theoretical concepts to questionnaire items. Practical implications are demonstrated through item wording, scale development, and piloting. Ethical considerations, such as informed consent, anonymity, and avoiding harm, are explored to ensure participants' rights and well-being. Cultural and contextual adaptation is also highlighted, as are appropriate modes of administration to maximize response rates and data quality. This theoretical analysis provides valuable insights for psychometricians, researchers, and psychologists in constructing questionnaires that effectively capture attitudes and beliefs. By integrating theoretical perspectives, researchers can enhance the validity and reliability of their data, leading to a deeper understanding of attitudes and beliefs in various research contexts. The study also identifies future research directions and innovations in questionnaire design methodologies to continually improve attitude and belief measurement practices.

Keywords:- *Questionnaire Design, Attitudes, Beliefs, Theoretical Perspectives, Data Quality, Research Outcomes, Psychometrics.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Questionnaire design is essential in the dynamic field of social studies research nowadays for acquiring important information and comprehending people's attitudes and opinions (Centellegher et al., 2016). Due to their effectiveness, scalability, and capacity to reach a sizable and diverse audience, questionnaires are frequently employed as a method for data collecting (Bee & Murdoch-Eaton, 2016). They provide researchers with a methodical way to investigate diverse facets of human behaviour, attitudes, and beliefs in a range of circumstances (Schaffer et al., 2019). One cannot overestimate the significance of using surveys to record attitudes and opinions (Lundby et al., 2021). The essential building blocks of human cognition are attitudes, which have an impact on social relationships, behaviour, and decision-making (Pramanik, 2016). Understanding attitudes and beliefs is necessary to obtain understanding of a variety of subjects, including public opinion, consumer behaviour, social identity, and psychological well-being (Tudoran, 2019). Therefore, well-crafted surveys are essential for illuminating these complex facets of human nature (Braito et al., 2017). Despite being widely used, questionnaire designs frequently run into problems that might undermine the validity and accuracy of the data gathered. Response biases, poorly written questions, and a lack of proper consideration of theoretical viewpoints are some of these difficulties (Baird et al., 2017). To make sure that their results are trustworthy and reliable, researchers need to handle these restrictions.

The lack of adequate integration of theoretical viewpoints in the design of the questionnaire in the context of attitudes and beliefs may be the weakness of this study (Stone et al., 2018). Although past studies on questionnaire design may have existed, they may not have thoroughly examined how theoretical frameworks might influence and enhance the measurement of attitudes and beliefs (Aasdahl et al., 2020). By offering a thorough investigation of various theoretical viewpoints and their applications in questionnaire construction, the study could attempt to close this gap and produce a more honed and efficient method for gathering attitudes and beliefs (Alordiah, 2023). The study may also fill a knowledge vacuum about how theory-based questionnaire designs affect research

findings. Although there may be some study on the subject, there may not be enough thorough case studies and examples to show the success and advantages of using theory-driven questionnaire designs (Byrne et al., 2019; Ridder et al., 2017). This study can make a contribution by demonstrating how the incorporation of theoretical viewpoints can produce data that is more trustworthy, legitimate, and insightful, improving the caliber and accuracy of research findings.

This article's main objective is to improve questionnaire design by including theoretical viewpoints on identifying attitudes and beliefs. To accomplish this goal, a thorough theoretical analysis will be carried out to examine how various theoretical frameworks influence and enhance questionnaire formulation. This work intends to provide novel methods for developing questionnaires that successfully evaluate attitudes and beliefs by analysing and integrating various theoretical views. This work has made a number of important advances in the realm of questionnaire design. First off, it closes the gap between theoretical conceptions and actual measurement by highlighting the significance of theoretical perspectives. Researchers can improve the content validity of their instruments, making them more reliable and accurate in assessing attitudes and beliefs, by matching questionnaire items with pertinent theoretical concepts. Second, by addressing current issues with questionnaire design, this study aims to enhance the general data quality and research findings. Researchers can improve the accuracy of their findings and the reliability of their data by spotting and correcting response biases and other design faults. The study's conclusions also have application in a number of social studies research domains. Improved questionnaire designs can result in more informed decision-making and a greater understanding of human behavior in fields ranging from marketing and public opinion polling to psychology and sociology (Parascandola, 2017).

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS ON ATTITUDES AND BELIEFS

A. Overview of Attitude Theories

The study explores a number of theoretical frameworks that support the development and evaluation of attitudes. A thorough grasp of attitudes is essential for creating efficient questionnaires that effectively reflect people's attitudes and beliefs.

➤ *Classical Theories*

The elaboration likelihood model and the cognitive dissonance hypothesis are two well-known examples (ELM). The cognitive dissonance theory, put out by Leon Festinger, contends that people feel psychological discomfort when confronted with opposing beliefs or attitudes. They are driven to modify their attitudes or views in order to lessen this dissonance. Researchers can create surveys that show underlying conflicts in people's belief systems by using their understanding of cognitive dissonance (Bolia – et al., 2016).

Elaboration Likelihood Model ELM, a concept created by Richard E. Petty and John T. Cacioppo, explains how people digest persuasive communications and form opinions. It names two processing paths: the inner route, which is analytical and thoughtful, and the periphery way (relying on superficial cues). Understanding how attitudes are generated and altered by various processing mechanisms can be assessed with the help of integrating the ELM into questionnaire design (Teng et al., 2014).

➤ *Contemporary Theories*

The Dual-Process Models, according to dual-process theories, attitudes are influenced by two different cognitive processes, automatic and regulated. While regulated processes require deliberate and conscious thought, automatic processes are instinctive and reflexive. Researchers can account for both the quick and reflective components of attitude formation by taking dual-process models into consideration when designing questionnaires (Mega et al., 2014). The Implicit Attitudes in contrast to the explicit views reported in questionnaires, implicit attitudes are those that exist outside of conscious awareness. Specialized methods, such as implicit association tests (IATs) or priming activities, are needed to measure implicit attitudes. Beyond what people publicly declare, incorporating implicit attitudes into questionnaire design allows for a more thorough knowledge of people's beliefs (Hughes et al., 2015).

B. Understanding Belief Systems

It is crucial to comprehend how beliefs are created and influenced in order to create questionnaires that truly reflect people's core ideas.

➤ *Cognitive Theories of Belief Formation*

According to cognitive theories, cognitive processes including perception, memory, and reasoning serve as the foundation for the construction of beliefs. These ideas investigate how people form, arrange, and change their beliefs (Gjoneska, 2021). The theories of information processing describe how people assimilate new information and incorporate it into their own worldviews. This factor is essential to consider when designing a questionnaire because it enables researchers to determine how respondents assimilate new information when establishing opinions (Rashid et al., 2021). According to the schema hypothesis, people classify knowledge into mental frameworks called schemas. These schemas have an impact on the generation, storage, and retrieval of beliefs. Taking into account schema theory can help when creating questions that investigate how prior information affects the formation of attitudes (Hunzaker & Valentino, 2019).

➤ *Social and Cultural Influences on Belief Systems*

Beliefs are not developed in isolation; social and cultural settings have an impact on them (Ng et al., 2020). The theoretical framework includes an examination of how societal influences influence belief systems. Social identity theory, for example, emphasizes how belonging to a group shapes one's

views and attitudes. Social categorization and ingroup partiality are two ways that group identity might affect an individual's ideas. Understanding social identity processes can improve the design of surveys to gauge attitudes toward particular groups (Ockenfels & Werner, 2014). Cultural Psychology: Cultural psychology looks at how various civilizations' belief systems are influenced by cultural norms, values, and practices. When capturing opinions in varied groups, questionnaire design guided by cultural psychology ensures that cultural nuances are taken into consideration (Elvis, 2017).

III. ROLE OF THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES IN QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

➤ *Linking Theories to Measurement Constructs*

Researchers can make sure that the data they gather appropriately reflects the respondents' underlying attitudes and views by ensuring that the questionnaire items are in line with pertinent theoretical notions (Vries et al., 2016). Researchers must connect the theoretical ideas from the selected attitude and belief theories to particular questionnaire items in order to design a useful questionnaire (Guenolenet et al., 2018). This entails turning theoretical abstractions into precise, quantifiable statements or queries. For instance, if the cognitive dissonance theory is being applied, researchers may create questions on a questionnaire to gauge respondents' judgments of the cognitive conflicts they feel when confronted with particular circumstances (Desmedt et al., 2021).

For a questionnaire to be successful, the content validity must be high. Researchers can make sure that the questionnaire items accurately represent the range of the attitude or belief being researched by basing item selection on well-established ideas (Epstein et al., 2019). The selection of items is more likely to cover all pertinent construct dimensions when it is theoretically grounded, leading to a thorough evaluation of the phenomenon being studied (Pleitze et al., 2020).

➤ *Identifying Relevant Dimensions of Attitudes and Beliefs*

Beliefs and attitudes are multifaceted structures that can include many different elements. A thorough understanding of respondents' attitudes and beliefs requires acknowledging and recording these various elements (Vita et al., 2020). Surveys shouldn't confine themselves to evaluating attitudes and opinions in a single dimension. Researchers can discover and investigate different dimensions that make up the complex character of attitudes and beliefs by drawing on a variety of theoretical viewpoints (Vaala et al., 2014). For instance, researchers may take into account factors like emotional attachment, behavioural intentions, and the perceived significance of conservation activities when studying attitudes toward environmental issues (Wullenkord et al., 2020). Researchers can use a variety of question formats and answer scales to record nuanced responses. When respondents can express their ideas and beliefs in their own words, open-ended inquiries might yield deeper insights (Singer & Couper, 2017). Using Likert scales with several response alternatives can

also enable respondents to express sentiments in subtler gradations. By utilizing these techniques, questionnaire creators can learn more complex and in-depth details about respondents' opinions and attitudes (Simms et al., 2019).

➤ *Addressing Response Biases*

Response biases may unintentionally affect the results of surveys' data collection. In order to ensure the accuracy and dependability of the data, researchers need to be aware of these biases and take action to reduce their impact (Latkin et al., 2016). In contrast to social desirability bias, acquiescence bias is the propensity for respondents to reply in a way they believe to be socially acceptable, regardless of their real convictions. By using balanced answer alternatives and randomized item presentation to prevent habitual responding, researchers can reduce these biases (Kuru & Pasek, 2016). Researchers can create inquiries that compel respondents to explore many parts of their attitudes and beliefs by adding theory-based response forms (Salzberger et al., 2014). For instance, theory-driven response forms can be designed to elicit answers that take explicit and implicit attitudes into account, resulting in results that give a more complete knowledge of the respondents' perspectives (Elran-Barak & Bar-Anan, 2018).

➤ *Designing Effective Questionnaires for Attitudes and Beliefs*

Effective attitude and belief questionnaire design needs careful consideration of item language and construction (Archambault et al., 2014). To ensure that respondents understand the questions being posed and that their answers are accurate and meaningful, it is crucial to use clear and unambiguous questions (Bee & Murdoch-Eaton, 2016; Alordiah & Agbajor, 2014). Furthermore, it's critical to steer clear of any prejudice or leading language that can unintentionally affect respondents' responses. In order to get people to respond with accurate information, questions must be phrased clearly (Sullivan & Artino, 2017). Inconsistent or erroneous answers could be given by respondents as a result of ambiguous or complex wording (Weijters et al., 2017). To ensure that each question accurately conveys the intended meaning, researchers must utilize simple, understandable language (Bee & Murdoch-Eaton, 2016). For example, when assessing attitudes towards climate change, a clear question could be: "Do you believe that human activities significantly contribute to climate change?" (Motta et al., 2021). Leading questions influence respondents to give a specific response, whether consciously or unconsciously. It is possible for biased language to quietly shape respondents' attitudes or elicit socially acceptable responses. To eliminate bias and guarantee that the answers of the questionnaire truly represent the respondents' true opinions and beliefs, researchers must maintain objectivity in their language (Krumpal, 2013).

For the purpose of accurately capturing attitudes and beliefs, the scale construction and validation procedure is essential. To guarantee the validity and reliability of the survey, researchers must carefully choose the proper response scales and apply rigorous validation procedures. To evaluate attitudes

and beliefs, various answer measures can be utilized, including Likert scales and semantic differentials. For the purpose of accurately capturing attitudes and beliefs, the scale construction and validation procedure is essential (Coombes et al., 2021; Alordiah, 2020). The suitable scale is chosen based on the study goals and the constructs being assessed. For instance, Likert scales give respondents a variety of ways to react, allowing them to indicate different levels of agreement or disagreement (Froman, 2014). Conversely, semantic differentials employ polar adjectives to determine respondents' perceptions of a certain proposition (Tamura et al., 2014). The measurement provided by the questionnaire must be consistent and stable to be considered reliable. Test-retest reliability and internal consistency are two techniques used by researchers to evaluate reliability (Beetsma et al., 2020). On the other hand, validity guarantees that the survey correctly measures the targeted constructs. To confirm the validity of the questionnaire, researchers often evaluate construct validity, content validity, and criterion validity (Phillips et al., 2019).

The questionnaire design process must include the processes of piloting and pretesting the questionnaire. Before using the questionnaire on a broader population, researchers can find any potential problems with it by conducting a pilot study with a small sample of participants (Ra et al., 2021). Pilot testing is distributing the questionnaire to a small group of participants and requesting input on the questions' clarity, the response options' appropriateness, and the questionnaire's overall format (Reeve et al., 2018). Researchers can uncover any perplexing or troublesome items through pilot testing, making adjustments to improve the quality and efficacy of the questionnaire (Read et al., 2021). Responses from pilot participants offer insightful information on the usability and efficacy of the questionnaire. Researchers may get advice on how to make some items clearer, how to phrase questions, or how to change the response choices (Lei & Lee, 2021). The questionnaire's general design is improved by incorporating this feedback, which also raises the chances of getting accurate data for the main study. Effective attitude and belief questionnaire design requires careful consideration of item wording, scale selection, and validation (Song et al., 2015). Researchers can construct a questionnaire that effectively captures respondents' attitudes and views by paying attention to clarity, minimizing bias, and carrying out extensive piloting, leading to more robust and meaningful study conclusions (Artino et al., 2018).

➤ *Ethical Considerations in Questionnaire Design*

Making sure that participants give their informed consent before participating in the research is one of the ethical considerations in questionnaire design (Joolae et al., 2017). In order to get participants' voluntarily assent to participate in the study, informed consent must be obtained by informing them of its goals, methods, potential dangers, and benefits (Nijhawan et al., 2013). In order to ensure that participants completely understand what their participation entails, researchers must ensure that the research's objective and procedures are communicated in a clear and understandable manner. Included

in this are the goals of the study, the type of the questionnaire, how the data is collected, and any dangers that might be present (Moody et al., 2017). Additional precautions are required to guarantee informed consent for some vulnerable populations, such as children or people with cognitive disabilities. In these circumstances, researchers may request legal guardians' permission or use plain language and visual aids to make their findings easier to understand (Franca et al., 2016).

The confidentiality and privacy of participants must be respected at all costs when designing a questionnaire. Researchers must take precautions to safeguard participant identities and response confidentiality (Ceylan & Cetinkaya, 2020). Any identifiable information that could be used to connect participants to their responses should be avoided by researchers. To protect their privacy, participants should instead be given anonymous codes or identities. Researchers must use data security techniques to protect participant data (Sanz et al., 2022). This entails the use of secure data storage systems, access restriction to only authorized personnel, and encryption of sensitive data.

In order to prevent participants from suffering damage or discomfort while filling out the questionnaire, researchers must take precautions. This requires being aware of touchy subjects and the potential emotional impact the questionnaire may have on respondents. To prevent participants from suffering emotional or psychological harm, researchers should carefully assess the questionnaire's content. Approaching questions that can bring up upsetting memories or bad feelings requires prudence. Occasionally, despite safety precautions, people may feel uncomfortable answering the questionnaire (Hayakawa et al., 2022). If participants require help or debriefing sessions, researchers should be ready to provide them. It can also be useful to include contact details for support services. Ethical considerations in questionnaire design are essential to protect participants' rights and well-being (Ashini et al., 2021).

➤ *Cultural and Contextual Adaptation*

Given that attitudes and views can differ across many cultural contexts, cultural sensitivity is an essential component of questionnaire design. To guarantee that the questionnaire is pertinent, considerate, and well-received by participants from varied cultural backgrounds, researchers must be conscious of cultural variations (Kanaan et al., 2020). Because of the influence of their values, conventions, and traditions, various cultures frequently have distinctive perspectives on attitudes and beliefs (Alordiah et al., 2022). These cultural differences should be taken into account by researchers, who should also think about how they might affect how questionnaire items and replies are interpreted (Moghaddam-Banaem et al., 2017). Researchers may need to modify the questionnaire to make it more relevant to the target population's particular cultural environment in order to achieve cultural appropriateness. This may entail rephrasing inquiries, utilizing examples that are culturally appropriate, or adding regional idioms and terminology (Kanaan et al., 2020).

When designing questionnaires, language is crucial, especially when there are participants who speak multiple languages (Köroğlu, 2019). Maintaining the questionnaire's validity and reliability across linguistic groups depends on accurate translation. Researchers must make sure that each item's meaning and aim are consistent while translating the questionnaire from one language to another (Ningrum et al., 2019). Consideration and collaboration with bilingual experts are essential because direct translation may not capture the full depth of some subjects (Kaka et al., 2014). Pilot testing is done by researchers with individuals who speak the target language group to evaluate the quality of the translated questionnaire. The input from the pilot participants aids in identifying any problems with comprehension, cultural relevance, or parity between the original and translated versions (Kanaan et al., 2020). Enhancing the validity and reliability of the questionnaire across varied populations requires cultural and contextual adaptation (Lundby et al., 2021). Researchers may make sure the questionnaire is suitable, inclusive, and produces meaningful data across many cultural settings by being culturally sensitive and appreciating the influence of cultural factors on attitudes and views (Balante et al., 2021). The questionnaire's integrity must also be preserved, and comprehensive translation and piloting of translated versions are essential to guarantee that the research collects correct data from participants who speak different languages (Roux et al., 2021).

➤ *Implementation and Data Collection*

Important choices must be made during the implementation phase of questionnaire design involving participant recruitment and the choice of an acceptable sample from the target group (Rashid et al., 2021). To make sure the data collected truly reflects the relevant attitudes and beliefs, researchers must carefully take these factors into account. Researchers identify the target demographic they want to study before beginning data collecting. The features and requirements that participants must meet in order to be eligible for the study are described in this step. After defining the target population, researchers choose the most appropriate sampling techniques to obtain a representative sample of that population (Ameen & Prahraj, 2020). The selection of a sampling technique (such as random sampling or stratified sampling) depends on the goals of the research and the resources that are available (Pathman et al., 2018). If particular subgroups of the target population are either underrepresented or overrepresented in the sample, sampling bias may result. Researchers should be conscious of such biases and take corrective action if necessary (Lamb & Kwok, 2015). Additionally, non-response problems, in which chosen participants fail to complete the questionnaire, can impact the sample's representativeness. It is crucial to have tactics in place to boost participation and lower non-response rates in order to increase the study's validity (Hillyer et al., 2020).

Selecting the most appropriate method of questionnaire administration is a crucial factor to take into account throughout the implementation phase. Response rates, participant involvement, and the caliber of the data gathered can all be affected by the administration method. There are several ways that researchers might distribute questionnaires, including internet surveys, print surveys, and in-person interviews (Mauz et al., 2018). The choice will rely on elements including the research setting, the target population, and the available resources. Each modality has benefits and drawbacks. Participant response rates and the caliber of the data collected might be affected by the administration method. For instance, face-to-face interviews may provide in-depth responses but can be time- and resource-intensive, while internet surveys may reach a wider audience but may be prone to response biases (Wilson, 2021). Researchers should carefully consider these variables and select the mode that best supports their goals while ensuring high data quality. Researchers need to pay close attention to specifics during the implementation and data collection phases because they could affect the study's validity and reliability (Mittal et al., 2020). To accurately reflect the target population, the sampling and recruitment procedures must be well thought out (Wardropper et al., 2021). Additionally, choosing the right administration mode improves data quality and response rates. Researchers can increase the overall validity and influence of their study on attitudes and beliefs by carefully considering these areas (Hooten & Bruse, 2017).

➤ *Analysing and Interpreting Questionnaire Data*

The analysis and interpretation of the responses is the most important phase once the data from the questionnaires has been gathered. To make relevant inferences and conclusions from quantitative data on attitudes and beliefs, researchers frequently use a variety of statistical techniques. Utilizing statistical methods to compile and analyse numerical data gathered through surveys is known as quantitative analysis (Ali & Bhaskar, 2016). Researchers can summarize the major tendencies and response variability using descriptive statistics. Additionally, depending on the sample data, inferential statistics can be used to draw conclusions about the wider population (Kaur et al., 2017).

A foundation for comprehending the underlying constructs being tested by the questionnaire is provided by theoretical perspectives on attitudes and beliefs (Malmi et al., 2020). Researchers analyze the quantitative findings considering these theoretical frameworks throughout the data analysis stage. They get more understanding of the elements influencing attitudes and views as well as how they coincide with or conflict with accepted ideas as a result of doing this (Becchimanzi et al., 2021).

In addition to quantitative information, questionnaires may also contain open-ended questions that let respondents comment on their answers. Researchers utilize thematic analysis for such qualitative data to investigate and extrapolate motifs, topics, and meaning from the participant tales (Al-Yateem et al., 2022). The content of the open-ended responses are systematically coded and categorized as part of the qualitative research technique known as thematic analysis (Bellows-Riecken et al., 2013). A greater knowledge of the intricacies and complexity of attitudes and beliefs is provided by the researchers' identification of recurrent themes and patterns in the responses of the participants (McLean et al., 2018). Thematic analysis allows for a rich exploration of participants' perspectives beyond the constraints of closed-ended questions (Woods et al., 2015).

Integrating both qualitative and quantitative data can yield a more comprehensive understanding of attitudes and beliefs (Reichman et al., 2021). By juxtaposing the outcomes of the quantitative analysis with the themes that emerge from the qualitative data, researchers can triangulate their findings. This mixed-methods strategy improves the study's robustness and enables a more comprehensive interpretation of the findings (Mataka & Kowalske, 2015). A crucial step in the research process involves analysing and interpreting questionnaire data in order to get useful findings and insights from the replies received (Monticone et al., 2020). While qualitative analysis focuses on the variety and depth of participant viewpoints, quantitative analysis helps researchers to identify statistical linkages and patterns (Petrofsky et al., 2023).

IV. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Although theoretical viewpoints offer insightful advice, they do have some limitations. The difficulty of generalizing results to varied groups is one constraint, as is the simplifying of complicated psychological processes and the potential for researcher bias when choosing theoretical frameworks (Howerton-Fox et al., 2022). The complexity of attitudes and beliefs may not be fully captured by some theoretical viewpoints, leaving gaps in the questionnaire's ability to fully evaluate people's thought processes and motivations (McCann et al., 2017). Therefore, in order to minimize potential biases and limits, researchers and psychometricians should thoroughly assess the strengths and shortcomings of the selected theoretical frameworks (Gorman & Kashner, 2017). There are methodological limitations that could affect the research outcomes. These may include challenges related to sample representativeness, non-response bias, or the reliability and validity of the data collected (Lewis et al., 2013).

We suggest a number of potential future study directions to advance the discipline of questionnaire design and attitude research:

- Psychological theories are constantly changing; thus researchers should investigate and incorporate new theoretical stances into questionnaire design.
- Interdisciplinary partnerships that include expertise from psychology, sociology, anthropology, and other related subjects might be beneficial to questionnaire design.
- Researchers should investigate cutting-edge data collection techniques as technology develops, including mobile apps, wearable technology, and virtual reality simulations.
- Understanding how attitudes evolve and remain stable over time can be learned by conducting longitudinal research.
- Researchers should concentrate on creating contextually suitable, culturally sensitive questionnaires for various populations given the diversity of cultures and societies. This makes sure that the questions are applicable and meaningful to people from all different cultural backgrounds.

➤ *Implications of this study to psychometricians, researchers, and psychologist*

This study has important ramifications for psychologists, academics, and psychometricians since it sheds light on how to improve questionnaire designs to better capture attitudes and beliefs. The following are some practical and theoretical ramifications of the study's findings that could be advantageous to these professionals:

- The theoretical viewpoints offered in this work can be used by psychometricians to create more reliable and accurate questionnaires.
- The research's emphasis on cutting-edge theories and multidisciplinary viewpoints may encourage psychometricians to investigate novel assessment models and procedures. This could lead to the creation of cutting-edge psychometric tools that are compatible with our growing understanding of attitudes and beliefs.
- Researchers can create surveys that successfully capture attitudes and beliefs by using the theoretical ideas from this study. Higher data dependability and quality may result from this, enhancing the empirical basis for research findings.
- Researchers can choose the instrument that best fits their research objectives and target population by comparing and analyzing current surveys utilizing theoretical frameworks.
- Understanding the importance of cultural sensitivity and language translation in questionnaire design is useful for psychologists.
- In the questionnaire design process, the study emphasizes the value of informed consent, anonymity, and limiting harm. Survey administration can be done ethically by psychologists to safeguard the rights and welfare of participants.

- The investigation of various theoretical frameworks in the study promotes interaction between psychologists, researchers, and psychometricians.
- The study's display of effective questionnaire designs gives practitioners from various sectors a resource to share experiences and adopt best practices.
- More theory-driven research in the area of attitudes and beliefs is encouraged by emphasizing the role of theoretical viewpoints in questionnaire design. As a result, underlying mechanisms may be better understood, and evidence-based therapies may be developed.
- The study's suggestions for future research areas stimulate additional inquiries into the methodology used in questionnaire design, resulting in continual developments in the measuring of attitudes and beliefs.

V. CONCLUSION

This study investigates the significance of using theoretical frameworks in the creation of questionnaires and their uses in social studies research. The knowledge gathered from this study can be applied to questionnaire design since stronger and more accurate questionnaires can be made by tying theoretical ideas to measuring constructs. Researchers can collect nuanced replies and develop a thorough knowledge of people's cognitive processes by comprehending the multifaceted features of attitudes and beliefs. Data from questionnaires become more accurate and dependable by addressing response biases through theory-based response forms. A wide range of research fields, including psychology, sociology, marketing, and public policy, can benefit from these theoretical advances. Better questionnaire design improves data quality and enriches how study findings are interpreted. In order to make better decisions and implement successful interventions, researchers can better understand the underlying mechanisms that shape attitudes and beliefs. Understanding human attitudes and beliefs requires the use of theoretical viewpoints in the creation of questionnaires. Researchers can open new doors for understanding human attitudes and beliefs by constantly improving and inventing. By integrating interdisciplinary viewpoints, utilizing technology, and adopting cultural sensitivity, questionnaire instruments can be made to be more contextually relevant and impactful, benefiting not only attitude and belief research but also the field of social studies as a whole.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Aasdahl, L., Marchand, G., Gismervik, S., Myhre, K., Fimland, M., & Røe, C. (2020). The Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ): Does it really measure fear beliefs?. *Spine*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000003188>
- [2]. Alordiah, C. O. (2023). Mind the gap: exploring effective strategies for conducting gap analysis in educational studies. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education*, 7(1), 1-14
- [3]. Alordiah, C. O., Omumu, F., Owamah, H. I., Chenube, O., Okokoyo, I. E., Osagiede, M. A., & Agbajor, H. T. (2022). Socio-cultural norms encouraging violence against women (VAW) from a popular ethnic group in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria and the roles of schools in preventing VAW. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2102299>
- [4]. Ali, Z., & Bhaskar, S. B. (2016). Basic statistical tools in research and data analysis. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 60(9), 662–662. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5049.190623>
- [5]. Alordiah, C. O. (2020). Development and factorial validation of a well-being scale for the Nigerian child. *Journal of the Nigerian Council of Educational Psychologists*, 13(1), 1-12.
- [6]. Alordiah, C. O., & Agbajor, H. T. (2014). Bias in test items and implication for national development. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 5(9), 10-13.
- [7]. Al-Yateem, N., Rossiter, R., Subu, M. A., Slewa-Younan, S., Rahman, S. M., Dias, J. M., & Al-Marzouqi, A. (2022). A qualitative exploration of mental health knowledge among pediatric health professionals in the United Arab Emirates. *PLOS ONE*, 17(3), e0266224–e0266224. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266224>
- [8]. Ameen, S., & Praharaj, S. K. (2020). Problems in using WhatsApp groups for survey research. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 62(3), 327–327. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.indianjpsychiatry_321_20
- [9]. Archambault, P., Gagnon, S., Gagnon, M.-P., Turcotte, S., Lapointe, J., Fleet, R., Côté, M., Beaupré, P., Sage, N. L., & Émond, M. (2014). Development and Validation of Questionnaires Exploring Health Care Professionals' Intention to Use Wiki-Based Reminders to Promote Best Practices in Trauma. *JMIR Research Protocols*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.3762>
- [10]. Artino, A. R., Durning, S. J., & Sklar, D. P. (2018). Guidelines for Reporting Survey-Based Research Submitted to Academic Medicine. *Academic Medicine*, 93(3), 337–340. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.0000000000002094>
- [11]. Ashini, A., Alsoufi, A., & Elhadi, M. (2021). Parental perception of neonatal ICU visitation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*, 153(3), 554–555. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13650>
- [12]. Becchimanzi, C., Iacono, E., & Brischetto, A. (2022). Acceptability of Assistive Robotics by Older Adults: Results from a Human-Centred Qualitative Study. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1001637>
- [13]. Baird, B., Lucas, R., & Donnellan, M. (2017). The Role of Response Styles in the Assessment of Intraindividual Personality Variability.. *Journal of research in personality*, 69,

- [14]. 170-179
- [15]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2016.06.015>
- [16]. Balante, J., van den Broek, D., & White, K. M. (2021). Mixed-methods systematic review: Cultural attitudes, beliefs and practices of internationally educated nurses towards end-of-life care in the context of cancer. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 77(9), 3618–3629. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14814>
- [17]. Bee, D., & Murdoch-Eaton, D. (2016). Questionnaire design: the good, the bad and the pitfalls. *Archives of Disease in Childhood-Education and Practice Edition*, 101(4), 210–212. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2015-309450>
- [18]. Beetsma, A. J., Reezigt, R., Paap, D., & Reneman, M. F. (2020). *Assessing future health care practitioners' knowledge and attitudes of musculoskeletal pain; development and measurement properties of a new questionnaire*. 50, 102236–102236. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2020.102236>
- [19]. Bellows-Riecken, K. H., Mark, R., & Rhodes, R. E. (2013). Qualitative elicitation of affective beliefs related to physical activity. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 14(5), 786–792. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2013.04.002>
- [20]. Braito, M., Böck, K., Flint, C., Muhar, A., Muhar, S., & Penker, M. (2017). Human-Nature Relationships and Linkages to Environmental Behaviour. *Environmental Values*, 26, 365-389. <https://doi.org/10.3197/096327117X14913285800706>
- [21]. Centellegher, S., Nadai, M., Caraviello, M., Leonardi, C., Vescovi, M., Ramadian, Y., Oliver, N., Pianesi, F., Pentland, A., Antonelli, F., & Lepri, B. (2016). The Mobile Territorial Lab: a multilayered and dynamic view on parents' daily lives. *EPJ Data Science*, 5, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-016-0064-6>
- [22]. Ceylan, S. S., & Çetinkaya, B. (2020). Attitudes towards gossip and patient privacy among paediatric nurses. *Nursing Ethics*, 27(1), 289–300. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733019845124>
- [23]. Coombes, L., Bristowe, K., Ellis-Smith, C., Aworinde, J., Fraser, L. K., Downing, J. R., Bluebond-Langner, M., Chambers, L. W., Murtagh, F., & Harding, R. (2021). Enhancing validity, reliability and participation in self-reported health outcome measurement for children and young people: a systematic review of recall period, response scale format, and administration modality. *Quality of Life Research*, 30(7), 1803–1832. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-021-02814-4>
- [24]. Desmedt, O., Heeren, A., Corneille, O., & Luminet, O. (2021). What do measures of self-report interoception measure? Insights from a systematic review, latent factor analysis, and network approach. *Biological Psychology*, 169. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/8mpz9>
- [25]. Elran-Barak, R., & Bar-Anan, Y. (2018). Implicit and explicit anti-fat bias: The role of weight-related attitudes and beliefs. *Social Science & Medicine*, 204, 117–124. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.018>
- [26]. Elvis, H. J. J. (2017). The Influence of Indigenous Culture on Sport Psychology Practice: Challenges and Prospects. *Psychology and Behavioral Science International Journal*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.19080/pbsij.2017.07.555719>
- [27]. Epstein, A., Williams, K., Reddihough, D., Murphy, N., Leonard, H., Whitehouse, A., Jacoby, P., & Downs, J. (2019). Content validation of the Quality of Life Inventory-Disability.. Child: care, health and development. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12691>
- [28]. Franca, M. C., Smith, L. B., Nichols, J., & Balan, D. S. (2016). Culturally diverse attitudes and beliefs of students majoring in speech-language pathology. *CoDAS*, 28(5), 533–545. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-1782/20162015245>
- [29]. Froman, R. D. (2014). The Ins and Outs of Self-Report Response Options and Scales. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 37(6), 447–451. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.21626>
- [30]. Gjoneska, B. (2021). Conspiratorial Beliefs and Cognitive Styles: An Integrated Look on Analytic Thinking, Critical Thinking, and Scientific Reasoning in Relation to (Dis)trust in Conspiracy Theories. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.736838>
- [31]. Gorman, D., & Kashner, T. M. (2017). Medical Graduates, Truthful and Useful Analytics With Big Data, and the Art of Persuasion. *Academic Medicine*, 93(8), 1113–1116. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.0000000000002109>
- [32]. Guenole, N., Brown, A., & Cooper, A. (2018). Forced-Choice Assessment of Work-Related Maladaptive Personality Traits: Preliminary Evidence From an Application of Thurstonian Item Response Modeling. *Assessment*, 25, 513 - 526. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116641181>
- [33]. Hayakawa, S., Pan, Y., & Marian, V. (2022). Language changes medical judgments and beliefs. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 26(1), 104–121. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/13670069211022851>
- [34]. Hillyer, G. C., Beauchemin, M., Hershman, D. L., Kelsen, M. A., Brogan, F. L., Sandoval, R., Schmitt, K. M., Reyes, A., Terry, M. B., & Lassman, A. B. (2020). Discordant attitudes and beliefs about cancer clinical trial participation between physicians, research staff, and cancer patients. *Clinical Trials*, 17(2), 184–194. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1740774520901514>

- [35]. Hooten, W. M., & Bruce, B. K. (2017). Beliefs and attitudes about prescribing opioids among healthcare providers seeking continuing medical education. *Journal of Opioid Management*, 7(6), 417–424. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2011.0082>
- [36]. Howerton-Fox, A., Veyvoda, M. A., & Montgomery, J. L. (2022). The Effect of a Graduate Course in Cued Speech on Students' Perspectives: A Pilot Study. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 1–7. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1044/2022_persp-21-00183
- [37]. Hughes, J. (2015). Support for the domain specificity of implicit beliefs about persons, intelligence, and morality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 86, 195–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PAID.2015.05.042>
- [38]. Hunzaker, M. B. F., & Valentino, L. (2019). Mapping Cultural Schemas: From Theory to Method. *American Sociological Review*, 84(5), 950–981. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122419875638>
- [39]. Joolae, S., Faghanipour, S., & Hajibabae, F. (2017). The quality of obtaining surgical informed consent. *Nursing Ethics*, 24(2), 167–176. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733015584398>
- [40]. Kaka, B., Ogwumike, O. O., Idowu, O. A., Odole, A. C., Saidu, A. M., Fawole, H. O., & Ibrahim, M. (2014). Translation of the Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire Into Hausa Language. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v7n3p116>
- [41]. Kanaan, S. F., Khraise, H., Almhdawi, K. A., Darlow, B., Oteir, A. O., & Mansour, Z. M. (2021). Arabic version of the Back Pain Attitudes Questionnaire: Translation, cross-cultural adaptation, and psychometric properties. *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, 34(1), 59–67. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3233/bmr-191758>
- [42]. Kaur, P., Stoltzfus, J., & Yellapu, V. (2018). Descriptive statistics. *International Journal of Academic Medicine*, 4(1), 60–60. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4103/ijam.ijam_7_18
- [43]. Koroğlu, Z. Ç. (2019). Interventionist Dynamic Assessment's Effects on Speaking Skills Testing: Case of Elt Teacher Candidates. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 10(3), 23–23. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.all.v.10n.3p.23>
- [44]. Krumpal, I. (2013). Determinants of social desirability bias in sensitive surveys: a literature review. *Quality & Quantity*, 47(4), 2025–2047. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-011-9640-9>
- [45]. Kuru, O., & Pasek, J. (2016). Improving social media measurement in surveys: Avoiding acquiescence bias in Facebook research. *Comput. Hum. Behav.*, 57, 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHB.2015.12.008>
- [46]. Lamb, S. W., & Kwok, K. C. S. (2015). *MSSQ-Short Norms May Underestimate Highly Susceptible Individuals*. 57(4), 622–633. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720814555862>
- [47]. Latkin, C., Mai, N., Ha, T., Sripaipan, T., Zelaya, C., Minh, N., Morales, G., & Go, V. (2016). Social Desirability Response Bias and Other Factors That May Influence Self-Reports of Substance Use and HIV Risk Behaviors: A Qualitative Study of Drug Users in Vietnam. *AIDS education and prevention : official publication of the International Society for AIDS Education*, 28 5, 417–425
- [48]. Lei, F., & Lee, E. E. (2021). *Cross-Cultural Modification Strategies for Instruments Measuring Health Beliefs About Cancer Screening: Systematic Review*. 7(4), e28393–e28393. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2196/28393>
- [49]. Lewis, E. A., Hardy, M., & Snaith, B. (2013). An analysis of survey reporting in the imaging professions: Is the issue of non-response bias being adequately addressed? *Radiography*, 19(3), 240–245. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2013.02.003>
- [50]. <https://doi.org/10.1521/AEAP.2016.28.5.417>
- [51]. Lundby, C., Simonsen, T., Ryg, J., Søndergaard, J., Pottegård, A., & Lauridsen, H. H. (2021). Translation, cross-cultural adaptation, and validation of the Danish version of the revised Patients' Attitudes Towards Deprescribing (rPATD) questionnaire: Version for older people with limited life expectancy. *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy*, 17(8), 1444–1452. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2020.11.001>
- [52]. Malmi, L., Sheard, J., Kinnunen, P., Simon, & Sinclair, J. (2020). *Theories and Models of Emotions, Attitudes, and Self-Efficacy in the Context of Programming Education*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1145/3372782.3406279>
- [53]. Mataka, L. M., & Kowalske, M. G. (2015). The influence of PBL on students' self-efficacy beliefs in chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(4), 929–938. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1039/c5rp00099h>
- [54]. Mauz, E., von der Lippe, E., Allen, J. D., Schilling, R., Müters, S., Hoebel, J., Schmich, P., Wetzstein, M., Kamtsiuris, P., & Lange, C. (2018). Mixing modes in a population-based interview survey: comparison of a sequential and a concurrent mixed-mode design for public health research. *Archives of Public Health*, 76(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-017-0237-1>
- [55]. McCann, B. T. (2017). Prior exposure to entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial beliefs. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 23(3), 591–612. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/ijeb-05-2016-0160>
- [56]. McLean, S. G., Goode, N., Hulme, A., Dodd, K., Gorman, A. D., Solomon, C., & Salmon, P. M. (2019). Beyond the Tip of the Iceberg: Using Systems Archetypes to Understand Common and Recurring Issues in Sports Coaching. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 1. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2019.00049>

- [57]. Mega, L. F., & Volz, K. G. (2014). Thinking about thinking: implications of the introspective error for default-interventionist type models of dual processes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00864>
- [58]. Mittal, N., & Alavi, S. (2020). Construction and psychometric analysis of teachers mobile learning acceptance questionnaire. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 17(2), 171–196. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/itse-07-2019-0039>
- [59]. Moghaddam-Banaem, L., Ahmadi, F., Kazemnejad, A., & Abbaspoor, Z. (2017). Development of a questionnaire to measure attitude toward birth method selection. *Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research*, 22(2), 147–147. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.ijnmr_147_15
- [60]. Moody, G., & Lugg, F. (2017). Public understanding of, and attitudes towards, data linkage in research. *International Journal for Population Data Science*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.23889/ijpds.v1i1.92>
- [61]. Monticone, M., Frigau, L., Vernon, H., Rocca, B., Giordano, A., Vullo, S. L., Mola, F., & Franchignoni, F. (2020). Reliability, responsiveness and minimal clinically important difference of the two Fear Avoidance and Beliefs Questionnaire scales in Italian subjects with chronic low back pain undergoing multidisciplinary rehabilitation. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, 56(5). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.23736/s1973-9087.20.06158-4>
- [62]. Motta, M. (2021). Changing Minds or Changing Samples? Disentangling Microlevel Stability and Macrolevel Growth in Anthropogenic Climate Change Beliefs. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 33(2), 477–489. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edaa020>
- [63]. Ng, W. K., Shaban, R. Z., & van de Mortel, T. F. (2020). Hand hygiene beliefs and behaviours about alcohol-based hand rub use: Questionnaire development, piloting and validation. *Infection, Disease and Health*, 25(1), 43–49. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idh.2019.10.001>
- [64]. Nijhawan, L. P., Janodia, M. D., Muddukrishna, B., Bhat, K. U., Bairy, K., Udupa, N., & Musmade, P. B. (2013). *Informed consent: Issues and challenges*. 4(3), 134–134. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-4040.116779>
- [65]. Ningrum, E. H., Evans, S. E., & Soh, S.-E. (2019). Validation of the Indonesian version of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: A Rasch analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 14(4), e0215128–e0215128. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215128>
- [66]. Ockenfels, A., & Werner, P. (2014). Beliefs and ingroup favoritism. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 108, 453–462. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2013.12.003>
- [67]. Parascandola, M. (2018). Public Opinion, Public Policy, and Smoking: The Transformation of American Attitudes and Cigarette Use, 1890–2016 by Thomas R. Marshall. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*, 92(1), 215–217. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1353/bhm.2018.0014>
- [68]. Pathman A, BD, A., WM, Z., Mohd S, Sukeri S, TJ, T., RA, H., Osman, M. B., Norazlin, & Zawaha. (2018). Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices and Health Beliefs toward Leptospirosis among Urban and Rural Communities in Northeastern Malaysia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(11), 2425–2425. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112425>
- [69]. Petrofsky, L. A., Heffernan, C. M., Gregg, B. T., Smith-Forbes, E. V., & Sturdivant, R. X. (2023). Sleep and Military Leaders: Examining the Values, Beliefs, and Quality of Sleep and the Impact on Occupational Performance. *Military Medicine*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usad040>
- [70]. Phillips, J., Prunuske, J., Fitzpatrick, L., & Mavis, B. (2019). The Family Medicine Attitudes Questionnaire: A Valid Instrument to Assess Student Attitudes Toward Family Medicine. *Family Medicine*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22454/fammed.2019.957692>
- [71]. Pleitz, M. (2020). Paradox as a Guide to Ground. *Philosophy*, 95, 185 – 209. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031819120000078>
- [72]. Pramanik, A. (2016). Decision Making: A Core Problem of Social Cognition. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25215/0302.025>
- [73]. Ra, A.-T., Moussa, Anwar, S., Ha, A.-S., & Sharma, P. (2021). Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and practices of the population in Kuwait about AIDS-a pilot study. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 1(2), 235–240. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26719/1995.1.2.235>
- [74]. Rashid, A. S. K., Tout, K., & Yakan, A. C. (2021). The critical human behavior factors and their impact on knowledge management system–cycles. *Business Process Management Journal*, 27(6), 1677–1702. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-11-2020-0508>
- [75]. Rashid, A. S. K., Tout, K., & Yakan, A. C. (2021). The critical human behavior factors and their impact on knowledge management system–cycles. *Business Process Management Journal*, 27(6), 1677–1702. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-11-2020-0508>

- [76]. Read, S. T., Wynaden, D., Albrecht, M. A., & Toye, C. (2021). Development of the dementia community attitudes questionnaire. *Dementia*, 20(6), 1940–1957. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301220977649>
- [77]. Reeve, E., Anthony, A. C., O'Donnell, L. K., Low, L.-F., Ogle, S. J., Glendenning, J. E., Lorang, C. E. B., & Hilmer, S. N. (2018). Development and pilot testing of the revised Patients' Attitudes Towards Deprescribing questionnaire for people with cognitive impairment. *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, 37(4), E150–E154. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12576>
- [78]. Reichman, M., Bakhshaie, J., Grunberg, V. A., Doorley, J. D., & Vranceanu, A.-M. (2021). What Are Orthopaedic Healthcare Professionals' Attitudes Toward Addressing Patient Psychosocial Factors? A Mixed-Methods Investigation. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 480(2), 248–262. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1097/corr.0000000000002043>
- [79]. Ridder, H. (2017). The theory contribution of case study research designs. *Business Research*, 10, 281–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40685-017-0045-Z>
- [80]. Roux, B., Sirois, C., Niquille, A., Spinewine, A., Ouellet, N., Pétein, C., Sibille, F.-X., Csajka, C., Reeve, E., & Villeneuve, C. (2021). *Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric validation of the revised Patients' Attitudes Towards Deprescribing (rPATD) questionnaire in French*. 17(8), 1453–1462. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2020.11.004>
- [81]. Salzberger, T., Newton, F., & Ewing, M. (2014). Detecting gender item bias and differential manifest response behavior: A Rasch-based solution. *Journal of Business Research*, 67, 598–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2013.02.045>
- [82]. Sanz, T. R. V., Casero, A. G., González, Y., Albaladejo, J., Hanlon, L. F. M., & Llamas, M. A. (2022). Opinions of nurses regarding Euthanasia and Medically Assisted Suicide. *Nursing Ethics*, 29(7–8), 1721–1738. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330221109940>
- [83]. Schaffer, D. R., & Debb, S. M. (2019). Validation of the Online Security Behaviors and Beliefs Questionnaire with College Students in the United States. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 22(12), 766–770. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2019.0248>
- [84]. Simms, L., Zelazny, K., Williams, T., & Bernstein, L. (2019). Does the Number of Response Options Matter? Psychometric Perspectives Using Personality Questionnaire Data. *Psychological Assessment*, 31, 557–566. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000648>
- [85]. Singer, E., & Couper, M. (2017). Some Methodological Uses of Responses to Open Questions and Other Verbatim Comments in Quantitative Surveys. , 11, 20. <https://doi.org/10.12758/MDA.2017.01>
- [86]. Stone, A., McDermott, M., Abdi, A., Cornwell, B., Matyas, Z., Reed, R., & Watt, R. (2018). Development and validation of the multi-dimensional questionnaire of scientifically unsubstantiated beliefs. *Personality and Individual Differences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PAID.2018.02.024>
- [87]. Sullivan, G. M., & Artino, A. R. (2017). How to Create a Bad Survey Instrument. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 9(4), 411–415. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-17-00375.1>
- [88]. Tamura, N., Terashita, T., & Ogasawara, K. (2014). Development of an Attitude-measurement Questionnaire Using the Semantic Differential Technique: Defining the Attitudes of Radiological Technology Students toward X-ray Examination. *Japanese Journal of Radiological Technology*, 70(3), 206–212. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.6009/jjrt.2014_jsrt_70.3.135
- [89]. Teng, S., Khong, K., & Goh, W. (2014). Conceptualizing Persuasive Messages Using ELM in Social Media. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 13, 65 - 87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332861.2014.910729>
- [90]. Tudoran, A. A. (2019). Why do internet consumers block ads? New evidence from consumer opinion mining and sentiment analysis. *Internet Research*, 29(1), 144–166. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-06-2017-0221>
- [91]. Vaala, S. (2014). The Nature and Predictive Value of Mothers' Beliefs Regarding Infants' and Toddlers' TV/Video Viewing: Applying the Integrative Model of Behavioral Prediction. *Media Psychology*, 17, 282 - 310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2013.872995>
- [92]. Vita, G., Strano, A., Maesano, G., Via, G., & D'Amico, M. (2020). The Role of Individual Knowledge in Functional Olive Oil Preferences: Does Self-Coherence Lead to Different Health Attributes Perception?. *Foods*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101428>
- [93]. Vries, R., Realo, A., & Allik, J. (2016). Using Personality Item Characteristics to Predict Single-Item Internal Reliability, Retest Reliability, and Self-Other Agreement. *European Journal of Personality*, 30, 618 - 636. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2083>
- [94]. Wilson, S. (2021). To mix or not to mix: Parental attitudes towards translanguaging and language management choices. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 25(1), 58–76. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1367006920909902>
- [95]. Weijters, B., Puntoni, S., & Baumgartner, H. (2017). Methodological Issues in Cross-Linguistic and Multilingual Advertising Research. *Journal of Advertising*, 46, 115 - 128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2016.1180656>
- [96]. Wardropper, C. B., Dietsch, A. M., Goebel, M. S., & Martin, V. J. (2021). Conducting conservation social science surveys online. *Conservation Biology*, 35(5), 1650–1658. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13747>

- [97]. Woods, A., Jones, N., Alderson-Day, B., Callard, F., & Fernyhough, C. (2015). Experiences of hearing voices: analysis of a novel phenomenological survey. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 2(4), 323–331. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366\(15\)00006-1](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(15)00006-1)
- [98]. Wullenkord, M., Heidbreder, L., & Reese, G. (2020). Reactions to Environmental Changes: Place Attachment Predicts Interest in Earth Observation Data. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01442>